

**SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT**

286191

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

09 December 2002

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
ATTENTION ☒ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.	
Russell O. Schaefer		Lt.	606			021	
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT		DATE OF INCIDENT -TIME		BEAT OF INCIDENT	LOCATION CODE*		
8201 South Racine / Chicago		23 November 2002 / 1130		007	01		
ACCUSED	NAME	RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.	
	1. GLOVER, Kevin	Sgt.	1587			021	
	2.						
	SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)		
	1. M/B	59	08 June 1981		<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		
				<input type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN		PHYS. COND. CODE† DNA	
				<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	
	IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED	CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE		
	1						
	2						
COMPLAINANTS	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
					F/B	72	01
VICTIMS	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
WITNESSES	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
					F/B	UNK	
					M/B	UNK	

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS The complainant alleges: During a dispute that occurred over a two dollar payout for an instant lottery ticket that was presented to her by Kevin Glover, she was threatened by being told that he would pistol whip her if she did not give him the two dollars. This occurred after Glover disputed the fact that Davis paid him the two dollars as requested. This incident occurred at the service counter at the Mo & Oink food store located at 8201 S. Racine Ave.

Both [REDACTED] are employees and working for the Mo & Oink food store chain at the time this altercation.

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant
02 Tavern/Liquor Store
03 Other Business Establishment
04 Police Building
05 Lockup Facility
06 Police Maintenance Facility
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility
08 Other Police Property
09 Police Communications System
10 Court Room

11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
12 Park District Property
13 Airport
14 Public Property Other
15 Other Private Premise
16 Expressway/Interstate System
17 Public Way - Other
18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
19 Private Residence

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s). In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

As stated in the attached reports, this dispute between the complainant [REDACTED] and the accused (Glover) began when Glover alleged that [REDACTED] had not paid him the two dollars that he won with an instant lottery ticket. He presented this ticket to [REDACTED] at the customer service desk at Mo & Oink where she was assigned to work that day. Glover is a part time employee of the store and was working that day in a security position. [REDACTED] alleges that during the dispute, Glover threatened to take her outside of the store and pistol whip her. [REDACTED] in her interview stated that she had one witness to this incident and another who had knowledge of it. Both persons were store employees, one of which is her supervisor and the other is the store manager.

R/Lt interviewed both witnesses who stated in their interviews that they were not present at the time that the alleged threat was made but became aware of the dispute only after it had occurred. Both witnesses spoke to [REDACTED] and Glover on that day about the incident. Both witnesses who were not able to contribute any information relative to this allegation, would lead the interviewer and the reader to believe that the problem in this dispute would not have been with Glover but with [REDACTED]. See attachments # 3 & 4

Glover, in his report and subsequent interview verifies that there was a dispute over the two dollar payout for his winning lottery ticket. Glover reports that he did his best to defuse the exchange as the complainant got "loud and ugly" in the store in front of the customers.

In his own defense, Glover provided this investigator with no witnesses, only his report and interview denying the allegation. In order to sustain her allegation, [REDACTED] provided this investigator with two witnesses who would support her in this matter. Neither witness was able to provide that support during their interview, both denying that they heard Glover's alleged threat.

Having conducted a complete and impartial investigation into this matter, I must conclude that there is no evidence available at this time to either prove or disprove the allegation as stated by the complainant. As a consequence, I recommend that this investigation be closed and classified as Not-Sustained against the accused, Sergeant Kevin Glover.

SUMMARY

ATTACHMENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS- SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS- SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
-0-	3 & 4	-0-	7

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for days (not to exceed 5 days).

CASE FINDING: NOT-SUSTAINED

RECOMMENDATION: CASE CLOSED WITH NO DISCIPLINARY ACTION

FINDINGS--RECOMMENDATIONS

RECEIVED BY
FEB 1 2003

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)	12 Dec 2002	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)	[REDACTED]	ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME)	29
Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.					

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM

Listing of Attachments for Complaint Register No. 286191

1. Complaint Against Department Member
2. Interview of Complainant [REDACTED]
3. Interview of witness: [REDACTED]
4. Interview of witness: [REDACTED]
5. Report of accused officer: KEVIN GLOVER and related CPD documents
6. Notification of additional identified witness: [REDACTED]
7. Notification of additional identified witness: [REDACTED]